

Anand 2-17-16

**CONFIRMATION NO. 2111** 

DATE OF NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE: December 17, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

SERIAL NO. 09/680,709

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **Patent Application**

Applicant(s): R. Anand et al.

Case:

2-17-16

Serial No.: Filing Date: 09/680,709 October 6, 2000

Group:

2613

Examiner:

Anand Shashikant Rao

Title:

Method and Apparatus for Video Transmission Over a

Heterogeneous Network Using Progressive Video Coding

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following remarks are submitted in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (hereinafter "Statement") included in the Notice of Allowability dated December 17, 2004 in the above-identified application.

## **REMARKS**

Applicants have reviewed the Statement as given on page 2 of the Notice of Allowability. Based on this review, it appears that certain portions of the Statement may be viewed as mischaracterizing the actual limitations of the allowed claims.

By way of example, the Statement includes, in the first sentence of the second paragraph on

page 2, the following sentence:

Independent claims 1, 10, 19-20 recite "... coding the video signal in a progressive

video coder so as to generate a progressive coded video bit stream . . . . "

Applicants believe that the above-listed portion of the Statement mischaracterizes the actual

claim language in that the particular language that the Examiner has placed in quotations, although

present in claim 1, is not present in claims 10, 19 and 20. For example, neither claim 10 nor claim

19 directly recites a progressive video coder or an explicit step of coding the video signal. Instead,

claims 10 and 19 refer to the video signal as "being coded."

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner, in attempting to paraphrase the claimed

invention, has introduced language into the Statement that does not accurately reflect the actual claim

language.

In summary, Applicants believe that each of the claims is allowable because the particular

limitations thereof are not taught or suggested by the art of record. To the extent that the Statement

includes language which deviates from the actual language used in the particular limitations of these

claims, the Statement is respectfully traversed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 20, 2004

Joseph B. Ryan

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 37,922

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517

2